Appeals
- No report

Athletics
The Faculty Senate Athletics Committee met on February 24, 2020, mainly to discuss sports wagering on intercollegiate athletics. Andrew Donavan (Senior Associate Athletic Director for Regulatory Affairs) led the discussion. The NCAA forbids university administrators, coaches, staff, and student-athletes from gambling on “any intercollegiate, amateur or professional team or contest.” The NCAA defines a “wager” as “any agreement in which an individual or entity agrees to give up an item of value (e.g., cash, shirt, dinner) in exchange for the possibility of gaining another item of value.” The NCAA legislation was established in 2007 and revised in 2020.

Andrew shared specific information on Tennessee state law, which legalized online sports betting on July 1, 2019; however, student-athletes, Athletics Department staff members, individuals with responsibilities related to the Athletics Department, and university students/employees with relevant non-public information on student athletes or teams are prohibited from betting. Wagering is not allowed on individual student athletes. Athletics department training, specifically on sports wagering, compliance forms and affidavits for staff and student-athletes were also shared. Andrew indicated that he periodically sends reminders to staff and student-athletes about compliance, especially before big events. Andrew also shared documents that he provides to staff on the 2019-2020 education rules pertaining to student athletes, including gifts, recruiting, autographs, unethical conduct, academic eligibility, and many other topics.

The committee also discussed the “real value” of the student-athlete experience. Top in-state recruits on scholarship receive approximately $115,382 in funds and services. This includes scholarship ($50,056), travel ($30,003), sports equipment ($8,941), student stipend ($6,502), sports medicine ($6,377), academic support ($5,061), strength and conditioning ($4,413), training table ($3,650), and sports science ($379). Although non-scholarship student-athletes receive less, they often are given several thousand dollars in travel, training, and services.

Benefits and Professional Development Committee
- No report at this time
Budget and Planning
Faculty Senate Budget and Planning Committee
Meeting Date: March 30, 2020
In attendance: Beth Schussler, Ken Baker, Phillip Daves, Lou Gross, Joe Bailey, Tamah Fridman, Lisa Driscoll, Alex Rodrigues (briefly)

We first discussed our upcoming meeting with Provost Manderscheid (April 13) and whether we thought he would still be able to attend. Beth has e-mailed his administrative assistant, but in case he cannot attend, we brainstormed questions to ask him regarding grad student support for finishing research, undergraduate and graduate admissions impacts, graduate student fees, the current budget process, and BAM timeline. Similarly, Chris Cimino was unable to attend the meeting, so we collected questions to ask him focused on the current budget process, impacts of the pandemic on UT’s budget, whether we should anticipate negative impacts on the budget, how hiring is being impacted, and impacts on BAM. We then shared the current salary analyses for tenure track and non-tenure track faculty members, created a plan for finalizing those analyses and reports, and set up a due date of draft reports for April 13.

Adjourned at 4:54

Faculty Senate Budget and Planning Committee
Meeting Date: February 10, 2020
Attending: Beth Schussler, Ken Baker, Tamah Fridman, Alex Rodrigues, Phillip Daves, Lisa Driscoll, Lou Gross

Meeting started at 3:35.

At this meeting, we hosted two Vice Chancellors: Interim Vice Chancellor for Research Mench and Athletic Director Fulmer.

Dr. Mench will be compiling information about the state of the research office to pass to the next Vice Chancellor when he or she is hired. Some things he noted are that although federal expenditures are up over time, that NSF funding is decreasing and proposal applications are flat. He thinks we underperform in federal grantsmanship. One area for discussion is how to maintain a strong central research office under the new budget model, when there will likely be pressure to de-centralize some efforts to Colleges. We discussed how more support could be provided for scholarship that does not earn federal funding and how this is important for the prestige of the university. He highlighted the many opportunities of the UTIA merger, ORI creation, and new partnerships at the Innovation Park / Cherokee Farm.

AD Fulmer highlighted the strong progress the Athletic Department has made over the last two years, with revenue up over time. Unfortunately rising costs have actually drawn down the reserve funds by 8 million since last year (this matters because there is a target reserve fund amount that would trigger the re-assessment of funding for the academic side of campus). The extra $34 million in budget has been used to fund new SEC mandates that have increased costs, the cost of tuition has increased, food has been “de-regulated”, they had to hire more
nutritionists, strength coaches, medical staff, travel, coaches’ salaries, etc. When asked what would build the reserves back up, he said season ticket sales for football, which bring in about 80% of the revenue. Alcohol sales bring in 1-2 million but this is not a huge part of the budget. We asked about the SEC payouts ($46 million this year) and were told it just goes into the “general budget”. They acknowledged that the new budget model would likely require them to pay more funds to campus. We talked about making game days more family friendly and drawing in new ticket holders, and that the south end stadium renovation would probably start in January 2021 and be complete in 2023.

Meeting adjourned at 5:05

**Diversity and Inclusion Committee**

*Diversity & Inclusion Committee of the Faculty Senate*  
*Monday, 3/13/2020, Meeting Summary*

Committee members in attendance: Joel Anderson (Co-Chair, Nursing) Samareh Abdoli (Nursing), Jason Brown (Art), Lt. Col. Matthew Castillo (Engineering), Lori Amber Roessner (Co-Chair, Journalism), Casey Sams (Theatre), Michelle Violanti (College of Communication & Information).

The Diversity & Inclusion committee of the Faculty Senate convened on April 13, 2020. We focused on dialogue around four specific agenda items [see below]:

1) Hillary Fouts, a Faculty Fellow for the Office for Diversity & Engagement, shared the results of the university-wide Invisible Labor survey conducted in Fall 2019, and we discussed ways in which our committee might be able to raise awareness and champion the recommendations surrounding the issue of invisible labor at the University of Tennessee;

2) Committee co-chair Joel Anderson shared the results of a University-wide survey around awareness of Diversity & Inclusion resources and willingness of University faculty to share their expertise with the Office for Diversity & Engagement;

3) Committee co-chair Amber Roessner facilitated a debrief and discussion of next steps in our year-long initiative around Hidden Difference.

4) Committee co-chairs Amber Roessner & Joel Anderson moderated a conversation around the purpose and goals for our committee moving forward.

The Zoom video recording and chat record will be available to all committee members, especially for those who were unable to attend.
Diversity & Inclusion Committee of the Faculty Senate  
Monday, 2/10/2020, Meeting Summary

Committee members in attendance: Samareh Abdoli (Nursing) Jason Brown (Art), Freida Herron (Social Work), Lori Amber Roessner (Co-Chair, Journalism), Casey Sams (Theatre), Michelle Violanti (College of Communication).

The Diversity & Inclusion committee of the Faculty Senate convened on February 10, 2020. After informing the committee that our resource survey, facilitated by Joel Anderson, would be circulated by Robert Spirko the following day, we moved forward with planning for our March 3, 2020, community dialogue around invisible diversity (e.g., sexual orientation, class, religion, age, regionalism, and other categories) and hidden difference at the University of Tennessee that privileges theories of intersectionality and conversations about identity, inclusion, and engagement. Co-chair Amber Roessner invited the committee to offer any additional feedback on the university calendar announcement [https://calendar.utk.edu/event/united_in_hidden_difference_a_community_dialogue_around_invisible_difference#.XkbkkK3MxZ0] or the flyer [updated pdf attached]. Modifications have been implemented [see updated pdf attached], and we all agreed that we would circulate the pdf flyer widely in our individual colleges, as well as to the commissions and organizers of the anti-bullying event, etc. Roessner has reached out to Misty Anderson and Tyvi Small to inquire again about the possibility of printing posters, and if funds are allocated, Roessner will reach out to you all to help distribute and post in the coming days. Moreover, Roessner will distribute the flyer to the appropriate individuals within the University’s Office of Communication to promote and facilitate the on-screen display at Hodges Library and Student Union buildings. Thanks to the efforts of Casey Sams and Matthew Castillo, we have secured two student volunteers to help CCI specialists with the filming and livestreaming the event. Freida Heron generated a panelist guide [please review attached document and offer any feedback by noon Monday] and will facilitate the delivery of the writing utensils, sticky notes, and white board on the day of the event. Michele Violanti and Roessner plan to meet in late February to begin discussions about the next phase of the year-long project, involving interview collection.

Faculty Affairs  
Faculty Affairs Committee  
Monday March 19, 2020, 4:10-5:00pm  
Dunford Hall 2412  

Members Present: Beauvais Lyons, Eliza Fink, Cheryl Greenacre, Jessica Westerhold  
Members Absent: Elizabeth MacTavish. Todd Freeberg, Nathalie Hristov, Brian Krumm, Jon Shefner  

Guests: Lisa Yamagata-Lynch and members of the Appeals Committee (Rebecca Prosser, Merilee McCurdy, Stephanie Benjamin)
Approval of the Minutes:  
**February 10, 2020**

Discussion of Goal 8: A conversation with Ombudsperson Lisa Yamagata-Lynch  
[https://ombuds.utk.edu/ombudsperson-faq/](https://ombuds.utk.edu/ombudsperson-faq/)  

In advance of the meeting, Lisa sent the following email message with two attachments, a dashboard summary and a slide presentation documenting her activities. She also wrote in italics below....

*I believe that for our community to take full advantage of the Office of Ombuds Services, it is vital that we demystify the activities that we provide to UT/UTIA graduate students, faculty, and staff to the extent possible while remaining confidential. Therefore, I have information I am going to share with you.*

*For the Faculty Senate presentation in February, I did not have enough time to present all of what I prepared for the 2019 annual data (May to December). You will find the data I intended to present as an attachment to this message. I tried to make the data slides decluttered and as self explanatory as possible, so if you have a chance to review them before the 9th please do so. I am also attaching what I call the Ombuds Dashboard that has a quick snapshot of the most up to date data as of today.*

*With my academic background in instructional design I have some practical visual design training for communication purposes, but I am not a visual design expert, so for those of you who are experts please excuse anything that does not make sense to you. Additionally, please feel free to provide me advise about the visuals that can help me improve my ability to communicate to the UT community.*

*In terms of visitor traffic as of today, since May when I was appointed to the Ombuds position I have met with 180 visitors. When you open the 2 files it will not add up to that number because for the latest data in the Dashboard, I have decide to capture only Fiscal Year 2020 rather than starting May 2019. Therefore the FY2020 visitor traffic count does not include the 22 visitors during May and June.*

*Assuming visitor traffic continues to average 20 or more per month, FY2020 total visitor traffic will range between 215 to 230 by the end of June 2020. Just for contextual information, what seems to be standard traffic for well established ombuds offices at institutions our size with comparable number of students and employees that we serve is 300.*
One more piece of data that is not in the files I am sending is my progress to be certified as an Ombuds by the Internal Ombudsman Association. That information is below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accomplished in 2019</th>
<th>Planned for 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>May</strong></td>
<td><strong>March</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Began Services</td>
<td>Attend IOA Conference and Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
<td><strong>April or May</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended training</td>
<td>Apply for CO-OP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>August</strong></td>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed Written Exam</td>
<td>Become Certified or a Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In discussion at the meeting, some issues she flagged are graduate student experiencing health issues that may impact their study and work. She noted that students can retain health coverage if they are taking a leave for medical reasons at $177/month. Because they are not paying student fees, they lose the right to go to the Student Health Center. International graduate students would have to be handled differently.

In FY 2020 she has had 21 cases where there were touch points with a department head. In some cases these have been initiated by the faculty member.

Lisa notes in her report that policy clarification is a subject that nearly 40% of her visits involve. Sometime this may involve seeking clarification with the Office of the Provost how the policy will be interpreted in their situation. She said it is less an issue of the policy being unclear, only how it is interpreted.

For department heads, their inquiries sometimes related to *ex parte* communications.

From the Appeals Committee perspective it is often about whether a policy has been followed fairly. Cheryl also brought up the issue of changing assignments and responsibilities for a faculty member.

Lisa said she does hear about service loads, and how these are sometimes unequal.

She said she gets more inquiries from non-tenure track faculty than tenure-track faculty members.; and it was thought that our new Faculty Handbook language about percentage of effort will address these concerns.

There was discussion of graduate students, who can be caught between their roles as both students and employees or instructors.

She noted that she has a dedicated office at Dunford Hall 2423. She said that she meets with Matthew Scoggins, the Chancellor’s Chief of Staff monthly. There was discussion about how to ensure the position is sustainable in her role as a faculty member and
ombudsperson. Some consideration to having more than one ombudsperson might be worth pursuing.

Quick Committee Updates:

Goal 5: Update on the Bullying Task Force (Nathalie Hristov and Todd Freeberg)
The bullying task force met on February 14 to discuss a definition offered by Jenny Richter based on the TACIR (Tennessee Advisory Committee in Intergovernmental Relations) policy. The task force members made several recommendations to the policy and Jenny will send us a revision based on the committee’s input soon. Once the revised definition (to be approved hopefully this month) is agreed upon by the committee, the other subcommittees will move forward with implementation, policy-setting, education and outreach.

Goal 9: Update on dismissal of NTTF in Handbook 5.6 (Brian Krumm)
Brian has been in communication with Laurie Knox and Crystal McAlvin about taking a first run at drafting new handbook language. Efforts to include AAUP still need to be pursued. Lisa noted that in a “for cause” case the process moves too slowly, and that this could be revised. She noted that use of this provision to quickly remove someone who presented a risk to themselves or others might not need to be used. Jessica advocated for an appeals process that aligned more with the appeals processes for tenure-track faculty.

Goal 2-3: Update on “Other Policy Documents” from Section 1.11 of the Faculty Handbook, and review the Appendix I section of the Faculty Handbook, These issues are on the agenda for the February 3, 2020 committee meeting. (Beauvais Lyons)
Beauvais is communication with John Zomchick about meeting the week of March 23 to follow up on these matters.

Future Meeting:
Monday April 13, 3:30-5:00pm, Dunford Hall 2412

Adjournment at 5:02pm.

Graduate Council
• Graduate Council Minutes of March 26, 2020

Library and Technology
• No report

Nominations and Appointments
• No report
Non-Tenure Track Issues Committee
NTTI Committee Summary List AY 2019/2020
Co-chairs: Laurie Knox and Crystal McAlvin

- We are continuing to monitor the changes to the Faculty Handbook and implementation of the new policies.

- Laurie and I met with Dean Lee from the college of Arts and Sciences and requested formation of the Deans Lecturer Advisory Council (DLAC). In response to that meeting, Dean Lee held an election, created the DLAC, and they are holding their first meetings April 2020. The DLAC will serve as a direct channel from lecturers to the Dean.

- From the meeting with Dean Lee about DLAC, it came to fruition that Laurie and I were asked to join the Arts and Sciences Bylaws Taskforce. This committee was formed to recommend and make appropriate updates to the COAS Bylaws with regards to the new policy changes in the FH on NTTF evaluation and promotion.

- Laurie and I participated in the Faculty Senate Bylaws project to update the description of the NTTI committee and its charges to more accurately reflect the work of the committee.

- We began a discussion about a troublesome sentence at the end of Ch. 5.6 in the Faculty Handbook. Discussion so far has included the Faculty Affairs Committee and the ombudsperson here at UTK. We are in the process of writing up a draft section to replace the troublesome passage and elucidate and elaborate on what options are available for NTTF with regards to termination and/or dismissal.

- Data request: we put in a huge data request for NTTF with regards to salary, workloads, and several other metrics that we hope can guide committee work in coming semesters. This request was submitted by Gary Skolitz and approved by David Manderscheid, so now all we are doing here is waiting for the data to come in.

- Survey work: we drafted a number of survey questions before the transition to online, but decided to suspend that work because we weren’t sure of our goals. However, recently, Laurie drafted and employed a survey of NTTF about NTTF’s experiences with the transition to online learning in response to COVID 19 and the data is very interesting. We shared this data with Gary Skolitz so that our work can inform and align with a whole- faculty survey that he plans to do.

Research Council
Research Council Meeting Date: March 11, 2020
Items of Business
1) The Research Council received an update from the SARIF GRA Committee. All RC committees – SARIF GRA Committee, Center & Institute Review Committee, Chancellor Award Committee, and SARIF Equipment Fund Committee have completed their work for the year.

2) The Research Council welcomed Dr. Joel Reeves, Associate Vice Chancellor and Chief Information Officer, who provided an update on computing resources of the Advanced...
Computing Facility and its organization under OIT. Perspective on the age of clusters and plans for updating and growing the research computing resource were shared.

3) Interim Vice Chancellor for Research Matthew Mench discussed COVID-19 response and planning with regard to campus research and laboratory safety.

**Research Council Meeting Date: February 12, 2020**

**Items of Business**

1) The Research Council received an update from Dr. Kim Eck, Assistant Vice Chancellor for Research Development, who described ongoing efforts of the Research Working Group established in conjunction with the UTK/UTIA reunification process. Dr. Eck described the charge to the Research Working Group and the process by which they were pursuing their charge, which entails identifying the top opportunities and ideas to enhance research collaboration between UTIA and UTK faculty; identifying changes needed to facilitate research development, administration, compliance and support; and helping to solve problems that impeded those efforts. Dr. Eck shared a sense of the recommendations that seem to be percolating from the process and interactions, and noted that a final report is due to the Chancellor in mid-March.

**Research Council Meeting Date: January 8, 2020**

**Items of Business**

1) The Research Council received an update from the SARIF Equipment Fund Committee. Notifications were sent in early December.

2) The Research Council welcomed Dr. Shawn Campagna, who described the UT Core Facilities Program and the new strategic plan for Science Alliance, a state-funded center of excellent. With respect to the latter, five key areas and programs were described. These include programs to support undergraduate and graduate researchers, the establishment of Faculty Development Fellows, a program to support collaborative initiatives between UTK faculty and ORNL staff, and a team-based initiative that supports small teams of scientists on efforts that align with emerging areas of focus at ORNL.

3) A series of changes to Research Council Bylaws were presented, discussed, amended and approved. These were transmitted for inclusion in revision of Faculty Senate bylaws.

**Teaching and Learning Council**

• No report

**Undergraduate Council**

• Minutes of Meeting April 14, 2020

**University System Relations Committee**

• No report