

Update on Undergraduate Council Procedures (K. Baker)

The council and curriculum committee are working on responses to the senate resolution passed in the last meeting. The February 2022 meeting with the 800-page minutes was unusual and should not happen again. They have increased the number of cycles for changes from 4 to 5. The college curriculum committees should also meet earlier and more frequently; how can we encourage this? There was some concern that it will be impossible to have college level meetings earlier if faculty are not working in the summer. Colleges may not be able to move faster. There should be a 28-day waiting period at the college level before it goes to the UC Curriculum Committee. This still needs to be finalized.

Minutes are color coded by level of impact. It was suggested we add a senate curriculum committee with a representative from each college to review the minutes for impact. The Curriculum submission guide will be updated on how to properly code impact.

A new committee would give the senate more insight into changes since the UCCC does not include senators necessarily. Can we ask senators to do more service? People are key even with the new software and need to be engaged. We will need to have a culture change to make this a priority.

Curriculog is the new software and an impact report will be run for all changes. This will not solve all the problems with changes but should alert us to impacts on all departments. There will be a 28-day waiting period here which will replace the college waiting period.

President Schussler requested that the Executive Council send suggestions to Senator Baker on how to improve the process. The UC will send the informational document to the full Senate in October.